

Change Requests Reviewed 8/17/10 for Version 1.3.0

CR#	Description	Notes / Discussion	Status	Priority Assigned
46	There is no visible link from a put away move request to the return, shipment or master work order number that originally generated the move task from refurb to storage. This makes it difficult for users to identify those move tasks. Add the appropriate document number to the putaway move request.	This is only an available option for non-RRP nodes.	Approved	Low
54	Kitting batch sheet - add the cache item number, quantity to kit, description and w/o number to the kitting batch sheet.	Major enhancement with high level of effort. Estimated one to two month effort for analysis, development, testing and implementation.	Denied	NA
55	Batch Sheet - add incident/other order number and the ship to and deliver to information to the batch sheet. Issue batch List Report - 1. Add the line comment to this report 2. Include the complete short description on this report	Major enhancement with high level of effort. Estimated one to two month effort for analysis, development, testing and implementation. CMB decided to approve this change with the following items on the batch sheet header: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Incident/other order number</li> <li>- Incident name (if applicable)</li> <li>- "Deliver to" information. If not applicable then the</li> </ul>	Approved	High

		"Ship to" information. - comments		
170	<p>Explore the possibility of not printing the kit trackable ID information on box 2 of 2 for cache item 000870 (or any other trackable kit w/2 labels). Because the caches palletize box 1's together and box 2's together because of the difference in box size.</p> <p>As per discussion with Jeri, we decided to have the following feature for manual printing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Put a flag in print pop up screen.</li> <li>2. If the flag is checked, all the labels will have trackable ID printed.</li> <li>3. If the flag is unchecked, only the first label will have trackable ID.</li> <li>4. The default will be the flag is unchecked. In the meantime, strike out the trackable ID with a Black Marker, until this is fixed.</li> </ol>	<p>Similar ties to CR#595</p> <p><b><u>11/09/10 decision made to moved this CR to release version 1.2.7.5</u></b></p>	Approved	High
217	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Enter an incident/other order number and enter a cache item that is trackable.</li> <li>2. Access the trackable lookup</li> <li>3. Change the incident/other order number in header</li> <li>4. Access the trackable lookup</li> <li>5. The same trackable ID numbers are displayed from the first incident/other order number that was entered. The trackable ID's that were issued to the second incident do not</li> </ol>	More of a bug than a CR.	Approved	Medium
233	Return worksheet report does not print the trackable ID numbers of kit component items within another kit. Example: return worksheet ran for cache item 002069 and	LOE - high	Approved	High

	the kit trackable id number of the kit prints but the trackable id information for the 000340, 000709 etc do not print.			
335	As per the requirement of BR#2 we need to store the base request # for each line in ICBSR. In the Issue console the system might generate a new request# separated with. (period). For example, if the original request# is S-000001 the Issue line console will store the request# as S-000001.1 (or some other number depending on current offset) . As per this CR system should store the BASE_REQUEST_NO as S-000001 along with REQUEST_NO of S-000001.1 or value entered by the user if it's without a period.	NA	Approved	Medium
338	Remove the product class field from the issue details screens and any subsequent screen as necessary.	LOE - high	Approved	Medium
351	Users can not easily find the trackable ID's that are issued on a particular issue. There is not a way to query somewhere in the issue details etc to see this information. 1)Add the trackable ID's to the issue details. 2)Option would be to add a trackable ID icon that the user can select and view the trackable ID's issued for that particular issue.	LOE – high CMB approved option 2 (use of an icon)	Approved	High
383	Here's the scenario - a cache has processed a return for NRFI items, moved the inventory to the refurb zone and the work order is created. A determination is made that all or part of those items are to be sent to another cache to complete the refurb and keep the items in their inventory. We need to discuss how this can be accomplished in	LOE - very high and will require a detail design document CMB decided to refer this to the SOP group and the cache managers to develop an SOP for transferring NRFI items for refurb to	NA	High

	<p>ICBS- Some of the questions/topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- if the work order is cancelled what happens</li> <li>- how can the cache that is doing the refurb process the work order and return</li> <li>- can we forward a work order and the details to another cache</li> <li>- can we transfer NRFI inventory to another cache'</li> </ul>	<p>another cache. Once that is established, it will need to be carefully thought out and designed to reflect what is needed to develop the managers vision.</p>		
439	<p>When an incident to incident transaction is processed a credit transaction is created to the 'from' incident. Currently the only option to view/print that credit is through the billing information or billed transaction report.</p> <p>The user needs to be able to view the return in the return receipt console.</p> <p>Allow the user to print a return for the incident to incident transfer credit.</p>	<p>LOE - high</p>	<p>Approved</p>	<p>Medium</p>
457	<p>Unable to access the audit information for the supplier item details. When the audit icon is selected a blank page appears and the system has to be rebooted in order to get out of that screen. Attached is a sample screen shot.</p>	<p>More research required. May be a bug in the system, or just a performance issue. CMB has been charged with researching and reporting findings to Jeri.</p>	<p>NA</p>	<p>NA</p>
469	<p>Add the functionality of adding multiple lines by typing in the number in the 'add lines' area when entering return lines.</p>	<p>LOE - high. May not be technically feasible because of the trackable item lines and the added lines associated with the tag att.</p>	<p>Hold for AIT deployment</p>	<p>NA</p>
484	<p>Listed below are the areas that when the user clicks on the audit icon nothing displays:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Returns&gt; inbound order shipment</li> </ol>	<p>LOE - high due to number of screens. Seems to be a bug in system. Mark, Amanda, Mack, and Karen</p>	<p>Approved for fix</p>	<p>NA</p>

	<p>details</p> <p>2. Shipment details for: issues, transfers, returns, inbound</p> <p>3. Incident console&gt; incident audit details - there is no information concerning the modifications i.e. old value vs new value</p>	<p>have been tasked with researching and reporting to Jeri by 8/20/10. If really a problem, then it is a programmer fix.</p>		
486	<p>When a trackable kit with multiple trackable components is issued i.e. cache van print all the trackable ID's on the issue report.</p>	<p>NA</p>	<p>Approved</p>	<p>Medium</p>
490	<p>When creating a count request through the Inventory/Create Count Request screen the user can enter different criteria to create the count request against.</p> <p>If the user enters an item ID we need to verify they also enter a UOM. Add the UOM to the screen and also a spyglass to search for the item.</p>	<p>CMB decided to defer this one to a later release</p>	<p>Approved</p>	<p>Low</p>
498	<p>Reports from users and I've seen it also where the system takes so long to complete a process that sometimes it times out or the user has to reboot to get out of it. Most often when they experience this is during the return process if they enter 40+ items on a return and try to process it. It is also happening during the kitting work order process if the kit has a large amount of components i.e. the cache vans. Users are saying if more than one person is processing a return for the same cache item at the same time the system is hanging them.</p>	<p>CMB decided that this is not a change request, but a performance issue. CMB suggests ongoing performance testing of local network.</p>	<p>NA</p>	
509	<p>Trackable inventory that has been issued or transferred from the cache on a permanent basis or has been lost or destroyed in the field needs to be disposed of from the</p>	<p>Jeri, Mike, and Mack are going to research the different options needed for the different agencies and</p>	<p>Approved, pending research</p>	<p>High</p>

	trackable inventory records. This process needs to be controlled by user permissions. Add a delete option on the trackable inventory details page. When the user clicks on the cancel button a reason and reason box will display with the options of 1. Lost 2. Destroyed 3. Transferred	circulate the options for approval. It was also decided that the authorities to use this function should be at a high level. i.e. Administrator, or Cache Manager and appointed staff.		
513	Provide method to easily create count requests for trackable or non-trackable inventory. There are two possible solutions here. The first is to create an extended item attribute and define that attribute as a Count Classification. Then the user can create a count request based on location or item information along with this new Count Classification. The second option is to create new screens, one for trackable item inventory counts and another for non-trackable inventory counts. Both screens would leverage the WMSBeforeCreateCountRequestUE user exit to reject or accept a location accordingly based on whether it contains trackable or non-trackable inventory.	LOE - medium to high. 1st option is the preferred. CMB agreed that the first option is the preferred method. A drop down menu with "trackable, non-trackable, and all" would be the most user friendly.	Approved	Medium
515	Implement the WMSCanTaskBeSuggestedUE user exit to prevent the second tasks being assigned to the person that did the first count and prevent the third count tasks from being assigned to the person who performed the first or second count tasks.	Very complicated and possibly error filled project. Would be easier to assign count tasks to specific users.	Denied	NA
534	Organize the list of available reports by	LOE – high	Approved	Medium

	<p>function so it's easier for the user to find the particular report they want to run.</p> <p>Example: all reports that concern kits put together with a heading of kits i.e. kit contents report, kit packing report etc.</p>	<p>Grouping of reports assigned to Jeri. CMB will circulate and approve revised list.</p>		
557	<p>When a receipt is processed that not all the items have been received yet the user has to zero out the quantities for all the lines/items that were not received prior to SAVE. A couple of options that we need to discuss are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Put a checkbox on the pop screen where the receiving location is entered that defaults to full receipt and can be unchecked (or checked) to indicate a partial receipt of lines. This would zero out all the quantities on the inbound order and the user would need to find each line to be receipted and enter the quantity.</li> <li>2. On the inbound/receipt screen the user checks a box next to each line that is to be receipted prior to selecting report/record/receipt. The items that have been checked will be the only items that display to be saved on the next screen.</li> </ol>	<p>LOE - medium to high CMB decided that option#2 would be the most user friendly.</p> <p><b><u>11/09/10 decision made to moved this CR to release version 1.2.7.5</u></b></p>	Approved	High
568	<p>Create the ability for the user to enter cache items that are used for refurb as they consume them and save those items before leaving the work order prior to processing the refurbishment. The SAVE function should allocate the inventory so they have a better idea of the available inventory that is left.</p>	<p>Example: While working on a pump, a user can add parts to the work order, save it, and come back later to add more parts before finalizing the work order.</p>	Approved	Medium

595	<p>Provide the ability for the user or node to turn on or off the automatically generated kit packing list and kit SKU label when kitting work order is confirmed.</p> <p>Couple of options: 1. Make it an extended attribute of the node - so it's always on or always off. If the node chooses this option then no packing lists or SKU labels will print for any kitting work order that is processed.</p> <p>2. Make it a selection during the kitting process. Add a check box to the create work order screen or during the confirmation process that the user will choose for that particular kitting work order if the packing list and SKU labels will print.</p>	<p>CMB decided option #2 gives the users the most flexibility, even though it is a higher level of effort to program. The question was raised and it was decided that it should default to <u>not print</u>.</p> <p><b><u>11/09/10 decision made to moved this CR to release version 1.2.7.5</u></b></p>	Approved	High
616	<p>During the stores review when an account code transactions needs to be changed need a process that will update all the transactions associated with the account code/order number.</p>	<p>LOE- high. This is needed for the stores processing function.</p>	Approved	High
619	<p>Currently the report prints the header information on each page. This CR is to remove the header information from all pages except page 1.</p>	<p>LOE - medium to high because of Cognos reporting restrictions. May be technically not possible, but the CMB would like the programmers to see if it is possible.</p>	Approved	Low
623	<p>Currently the users must create 2 orders if they have the same Item Id and they want to specify which request number a specific serial number is shipped on. The users would like the ability to specify the serial ID number during the pick process. The system should be configured such that this is only a change that impacts the radio shop. The rest of the user community should not be forced to enter the request number for a specific trackable ID.</p>	<p>LOE - technically may not be feasible. Needs to be researched by development team. CMB would like the programmers to research the possibility of helping the radio shop to develop this CR</p>	Approved, pending	